UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,177	05/06/2004	Hyun-Jeong Kang	678-1485	7516
	7590 03/17/200 L LAW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD			CHURNET, DARGAYE H	
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/840,177	KANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DARGAYE H. CHURNET	2619		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 7-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 May 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the second content of the second c	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to b			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer, note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/15/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number: 10/840,177 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanforth et al. in view of Belcea (cited 6,807,165).

For claim 1, Stanforth et al. disclose a mobile host for determining a route in a mobile ad-hoc network (see fig. 3, mobile ad-hoc network), comprising: a power information calculator (see col. 7, lines 51-54, power level is calculated within the mobile terminal) for calculating power information (see col. 9, lines 29-31, wherein the power level is the power information) using link transmission power (see col. 9, lines 26-29,

Art Unit: 2619

wherein link quality, including link transmission power, is calculated), which will enable the mobile host to transmit data, and remaining battery power (see col. 9, lines 26-29, battery life is calculated); a message generator for generating a message (see col. 8, lines 61-63, wherein the terminals exchange messages on a regular basis) for determining a host for a requested service (see col. 9, lines 26-28, wherein the optimal route, which includes the hosts to be used, is determined based on the service) and generating an SQPE (Service Query Power Extension) message (see col. 8, lines 61-63, wherein the routing table information is the SQPE message) including the power information calculated by the power information calculator (see col. 8, lines 45-60, wherein the power information is included in the routing table information); and a radio module for converting the generated SQPE message into a radio signal (see col. 6, lines 4-10, wherein the network consists of a series of radios which inherently convert messages to radio signals). Stanforth et al. fail to disclose broadcasting the radio signal to the mobile ad-hoc network. Belcea from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach broadcasting the radio signal to the mobile ad-hoc network (see col. 8, lines 57-61, wherein the information about the terminals is broadcasted to the mobile ad-hoc network). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the elements above stated by Belcea in the network of Stanforth et al. The method taught by Belcea is modified/implemented into the network Stanforth et al. by broadcasting the routing table information to all of the terminals in the network. The motivation for broadcasting the radio signal to the mobile ad-hoc network is that Stanforth et al. teaches the transmission of the routing table

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/840,177 Page 4

Art Unit: 2619

information between each of the terminals, which could be done faster by broadcasting

the routing table information, and references the application of Belcea as a similar ad-

hoc network.

For claim 2, Stanforth et al. disclose a controller for controlling the power

information calculator, the message generator, and the radio module (see fig. 3, mobile

terminal 10, which inherently consists of a controller to control the power calculation and

generation and transmission of radio messages).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 7-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. These references include Acampora (cited 6,751,455) and

Agrawal et al. (cited 6,072,784), which both describe mobile networks determining

routes on node power levels.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,177 Page 5

Art Unit: 2619

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dargaye H. Churnet whose telephone number is 571-

270-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dargaye Churnet Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2619

/CHAU T. NGUYEN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619